

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/722,120	BABIN ET AL.	
	Examiner Tim Heitbrink	Art Unit 1722	

All Participants:

(1) Tim Heitbrink.

Status of Application: ending

(3) _____.

(2) Al Ferro.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 14 March 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1-4,8-11,15 and 16

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mr. Ferro agreed to the changes as found in the Examiner's Amendment. Such changes were made in order to distinguish the different diamond or diamond-like coatings

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)